UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
FREDERICK DIAZ,	
Plaintiff,	
-V-	9:15-CV-776 (DNH/DJS)
STEPHANIE PELO, Grievance Supervisor, Great Meadow Correctional Facility; C. FRASER, Sergeant, Great Meadow Correctional Facility; KENNETH MCKEIGHAN, Industry Superintendent, Great Meadow Correctional Facility; RODNEY EASTMAN, Deputy Superintendent of Security, Great Meadow Correctional Facility; CHRISTOPHER MILLER, Superintendent, Great Meadow Correctional Facility; and RACHEL A. YOUNG, Acting Director of the Office of Guidance & Counseling,	
Defendants.	
APPEARANCES:	
FREDERICK DIAZ 86-B-2129 Plaintiff pro se Elmira Correctional Facility P.O. Box 500 Elmira, NY 14902	
HON, ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN	RYAN L. ABEL. ESQ.

New York State Attorney General - Albany
Attorney for Defendants
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224

DAVID N. HURD United States District Judge

DECISION and ORDER

Pro se plaintiff Frederick Diaz brought this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 15, 2019, the Honorable Daniel J. Stewart, United States Magistrate Judge, advised by Report-Recommendation that defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted in part and denied in part and that plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment be denied. Plaintiff and defendant Young timely filed objections to the Report-Recommendation.

Based upon a de novo review of the portions of the Report-Recommendation to which the parties objected, the Report-Recommendation is accepted and adopted in all respects.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that

- 1. Defendants' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;
- 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's due process claim against defendant Young is DENIED and that claim will proceed to trial;
- Defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to all other claims is GRANTED;
 - 4. The following claims are DISMISSED:
 - a. due process claim against defendant Pelo for deduction of \$1,660.20 from plaintiff's inmate account;
 - b. retaliation claim against defendants Pelo and Young for deducting \$1,660.20 from plaintiff's inmate account;

c. retaliation claim against defendants Fraser and Eastman for issuing plaintiff a false

IPC report;

d. due process claim against defendant McKeighan regarding the IPC and IGRC

impeachment hearings;

e. retaliation claim against defendant McKeighan for affirming plaintiff's IPC

placement;

f. retaliation claim against defendants Eastman and Miller for upholding plaintiff's IPC

placement; and

g. retaliation claim against defendant Pelo for issuing plaintiff a false misbehavior

report;

5. Plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment is DENIED;

6. Trial on the sole remaining due process claim against defendant Young is

scheduled for Tuesday, August 6, 2019, in Utica, New York with pre-trial papers due on or

before 12:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 23, 2019; and

7. Plaintiff's prior request for pro-bono trial counsel, ECF No. 58, is considered

renewed and GRANTED and counsel will be assigned in due course.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District

Dated: March 28, 2019

Utica, New York.

3